

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 10/566,220 | 01/26/2006 | Christine Linke | 2003P01144WOUS | 3728 | |
| 46726 BSH HOME A | 7590 09/10/200 APPLIANCES CORPO | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BIERN, NC 28562 | | | WILKENS, J. | WILKENS, JANET MARIE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 3637 | | | |
| | | | NOTETO A PROMINING | DET WEIGHT CODE | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE 09/10/2009 | DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) LINKE ET AL. | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/566,220 | | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Janet M. Wilkens | 3637 | | | | |

| | Janet M. Wilkens | 3637 | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | ED WITHIN TWO | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a valued or 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | |
| ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown) (c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in bett | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); | E below); | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1: | | cted claims. | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (f | PTOL-324). | | |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, t | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>20,26 and 30</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,21-25,27-29 and 31-37</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | before or on the date of filing a No sufficient reasons why the affidavi | tice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is | be entered necessary and | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | |
| IXI Other: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |

/Janet M. Wilkens/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637 Continuation of 3, NOTE: limiting the housing part to the door or body changes the scope of the independent claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the art rejections are still deemed proper. Please note that the examiner has been considering the catch element as the feature which pivots and crosses the side wall. This is how the catch element/shaft limitation is being interpreted

Continuation of 13. Other: the specification and drawing corrections have been approved by the examiner,